



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,448	09/30/2003	Kevin Scott Beyer	SVL920030088US1	2447

45727 7590 02/26/2009
IP AUTHORITY, LLC
RAMRAJ SOUNDARARAJAN
4821A Eisenhower Ave
Alexandria, VA 22304

EXAMINER

MYINT, DENNIS Y

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2162

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

02/26/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

RAM@IP-AUTHORITY.COM
brandi@ip-authority.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/605,448	Applicant(s) BEYER ET AL.	
	Examiner DENNIS MYINT	Art Unit 2162	

All Participants: (1) DENNIS MYINT (Examiner). (2) Brandi Franklin (Applicant's Representative). (3) _____. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 February 2009 Time: 11:45 AM

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John Breene/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2162
 /Dennis Myint/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2162

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On February 20, 2009, Examiner initiated a telephone interview with Brandi Franklin (Applicant's Representative) to state that the reply brief file on 11/17/2008 has been entered and considered and the application has been forwarded to the Board of Appeals and Interferences for decision on the appeal. Examiner noted that oral hearing was NOT requested by the applicant.

/Dennis Myint/
AU-2162.